UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MARK MAJOR and MICHAEL MAJOR,

Plaintiffs,

V.

MARK D. HODGSON, MAXEY LAW OFFICE, ANDREW C. BOHRNSEN, STOCKER SMITH LUCIANI & STAUB PLLC,

Defendants.

NO. CV-09-242-EFS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

On October 28, 2009, the Court issued a Second Amended Order in which it granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. (Ct. Rec. $\underline{37}$.) Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Reconsider (Ct Rec. $\underline{34}$), which the Court denied. (Ct. Rec. $\underline{42}$.) Plaintiffs now renew their request for the Court to reconsider its Order granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Ct. Rec. $\underline{43}$.)

The "law of the case" doctrine generally prevents a court from reconsidering an issue it has already decided in the same case. See United States v. Alexander, 106 F.3d 874, 876 (9th Cir. 1997). Nonetheless, the doctrine is a guide to the Court's discretion rather than a limitation of the Court's jurisdiction. See id. (citing Arizona v. California, 460 U.S. 605, 618 (1983)). A court "may have discretion

1	to depart from the law of the case where: 1) the first decision was
2	clearly erroneous; 2) an intervening change in the law has occurred; 3)
3	the evidence on remand is substantially different; 4) other changed
4	circumstances exist; or 5) a manifest injustice would otherwise result."
5	Alexander, 106 F.3d at 876. "Failure to apply the doctrine of the law
6	of the case absent one of the requisite conditions constitutes an abuse
7	of discretion." Id.
8	In support of their Amended Motion for Reconsideration, Plaintiffs
9	reiterate the conclusory, unfounded, and untrue allegations that this
10	Court joined the conspiracy to deprive them of their rights. As the
11	Court already noted, these are insufficient grounds to meet the stringent
12	standard for a court to reconsider a prior order.
13	For the reasons given above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: Plaintiffs'
14	Motion for Reconsideration (Ct. Rec. 43) is DENIED .
15	DATED this $\underline{25^{\text{th}}}$ day of November 2009.
16	
17	S/ Edward F. Shea
18	EDWARD F. SHEA United States District Judge
L 9	onicea deaced biberies daage
20	Q:\Civil\2009\242.reconsid2.wpd
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

ORDER * 2